

POLICY BOARD
 Tenth Street Place
 1010 10th Street
 City County Chambers
 Modesto, CA
 JANUARY 9, 2008
 6:00 PM

California Brown Act Requires:

This Agenda shall be made available upon request in alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. § 12132) and the Ralph M. Brown Act (California Government Code § 54954.2). Persons requesting a disability related modification or accommodation in order to participate in the meeting should contact C.J. Johnson, at (209) 558-7830, during regular business hours, at least twenty-four hours prior to the time of the meeting.

Notice Regarding Non-English Speakers:

Pursuant to California Constitution Article III, Section IV, establishing English as the official language for the State of California, and in accordance with California Code of Civil Procedures Section 185, which requires proceedings before any State Court to be in English, notice is hereby given that all proceedings before the StanCOG Policy Board shall be in English and anyone wishing to address the Board is advised to have an interpreter or give StanCOG a 48 hour notice so that StanCOG can provide an interpreter from any language not English into the English language.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Matters not on the posted agenda may be addressed by the general public at the beginning of the regular agenda and any off-agenda matters before the Board for consideration. However, California law prohibits taking action on any matter which is not on the posted agenda unless it is determined to be an emergency by the Board. Any member of the public wishing to address the Board will be limited to five minutes or the discretion of the Chair.

Questions: Contact C.J. Johnson at (209) 558-7830

AGENDA

PLEDGE OF ALLIANCE

1. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

These matters may be presented only by interested persons in the audience. Discussion is limited to the discretion of the Chair.

2. CONSENT CALENDAR

- A. Revised Transportation Development Act (TDA) Apportionment for Fiscal Year 2007/08

RESOLUTION

**3. PRESENTATIONS
(NONE)**

**4. PUBLIC HEARINGS
(NONE)**

5. ORAL REPORTS

- A. North County Corridor Feasibility Study - Final Report
- B. Update - North County Corridor Project Study Report
- C. 2008 Draft Regional Transportation Improvement Program

**MOTION
DISCUSSION
DISCUSSION**

6. INFORMATION ITEMS

- A. 2008 Regional Housing Needs Assessment
- B. Draft 2008/09 Unmet Transit Needs Report
- C. Stanislaus County Blueprint Update

7. COMMITTEE MINUTES
(NONE)
8. CALTRANS REPORT
9. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT
 - A. Recap of December 12, 2007 Policy Board Strategic Planning Workshop
10. CLOSED SESSION

PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE Section 54956.8
Conference with Real Property Negotiators
Property: (APN 033-078-002;-04;-05)
Agency Negotiator: Vince Harris (DeeAnne Gillick and Jennifer Alves)
Negotiating Parties: Stanislaus Council of Governments and
Bright Development (Carol Bright and John Dunn)
Under Negotiation: Both price and terms of payment.

11. RETURN TO OPEN SESSION
12. COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD
Topics may be presented, but no action may be taken except to place an item on a future agenda.

ADJOURNMENT

Next Regular meeting scheduled for:

February 13, 2008
6:00 PM
1010 Tenth St
City County Chambers
Modesto CA



Consent Items



City of Ceres • City of Hughson • City of Modesto • City of Newman • City of Oakdale • City of Patterson
City of Riverbank • City of Turlock • City of Waterford • County of Stanislaus

TO: Policy Board

STAFF REPORT
RESOLUTION

THROUGH: Vince Harris, Executive Director *VH*

FROM: Vince Canales, Finance Director *VC*
Robin Whitehead, Budget & Grants Coordinator *RW*

DATE: January 4, 2008

SUBJECT: Amend the fiscal year 2007-08 TDA Estimates and Apportionments

Recommendation

BY RESOLUTION:

1. Amend the fiscal year 2007-08 Local Transportation Funds (LTF) estimate from \$18,300,000 to \$16,000,000, a reduction of \$2,300,000.
2. Apportion additional State Transit Assistance Funds (STA) in the amount of \$583,052 from prior years' accumulated reserves.
3. Amend the fiscal year 2007-08 Transportation Development Act (TDA) apportionments as presented in Schedule 1 columns 4, 5, 6, 8, 9.
4. Amend the fiscal year 2007-08 LTF apportionments as presented in Schedule 4 in columns 4, 5, 6.

Background

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF) ¼ cent Retail Sales Tax

On September 12, 2007, the StanCOG Policy Board adopted a revised fiscal year 2007-08 LTF estimate of \$18,300,000 based on staff's recommendations. Since the beginning of the fiscal year, staff has monitored the LTF receipts closely each month.

V:\STANCOG\canales\staff reports 07-08\lft reduction 2 pb.doc

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE (STA) Fuel Tax

The current year estimate for STA is \$2,351,185. This estimate was provided by the State Controller's Office. In addition to this amount, staff noticed that the STA fund has accumulated a fund balance of \$676,471 as of June 30, 2007. Upon further research, staff determined the accumulation began in fiscal year 2003-04. This fund balance has not been apportioned. Of this amount, staff wishes to apportion \$583,052 to the City of Modesto for fiscal year 2007-08.

Discussion

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS (LTF)

LTF remittances for November and December have fallen short of expected levels by \$200,000 each month. With the slow-down in the economy, and the recent shortfalls experienced, staff recommends reducing the LTF estimate again for fiscal year 2007-08 to \$16,000,000.

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE (STA)

To help offset part of the LTF shortfall, staff recommends apportioning an additional \$583,052 of STA to the City of Modesto from the accumulated reserve. In accordance with Section 9 paragraph 1 of the Transit Cost Sharing Procedures, Modesto will not benefit financially from this additional apportionment of STA. However, the region will benefit as this will reduce Modesto's requirement of new LTF needed for transit. This de-obligation of LTF will then be added to the amount available for street and roads to be distributed to all of the jurisdictions based on the Transit Cost Sharing Formula.

Ultimately, these two adjustments will affect the apportionment amounts to the jurisdictions as reflected in schedules 1 and 4. Additionally, on Schedule 3 it should be noted that all jurisdictions will receive less than their "floor" funding. In accordance with the Transit Cost Sharing Procedures, funds were reduced for each jurisdiction on a proportional basis, using the 1994-95 funding "floor" allocation percentages.

Advisory Committee Action

The Consolidated Planning Committee and the Technical Advisory Committee concurred with staff's recommendations.

vcanales@stancog.org

REVISED STEP 1: INITIAL LTF AND STA APPORTIONMENT FOR FY 2007/08
SCHEDULE 1
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS APPORTIONMENT

January 9, 2008

APPORTIONMENT BASED ON \$16,000,000 OF LTF

Column #1	Column #2	Column #3	Column #4	Column #5	Column #6	Column #7	Column #8	Column #9
JURISDICTION	POPULATION	% OF POPULATION Note 1	TDA ADMIN	PLANNING	NONMOTORIZED (2% LTF)	REMAINING LTF	2007/08 STA PUC 99313 Note 2	2007/08 STA PUC 99314 Note 2
CERES	40,943	7.9598%			24,513			
HUGHSON	6,127	1.1912%			3,668			
MODESTO	208,107	40.4586%			124,596		2,774,727	139,096
NEWMAN	10,140	1.9713%			6,071			
OAKDALE	17,856	3.4714%			10,691			
PATTERSON	19,269	3.7461%			11,537			
RIVERBANK	21,215	4.1245%			12,702			
TURLOCK	67,876	13.1959%			40,638			6,662
WATERFORD	8,216	1.5973%			4,919			
COUNTY (UNINC.)	114,621	22.2838%			68,625			13,752
StanCOG			122,000	480,000				
REMAINING LTF						15,090,040		
TOTAL	514,370	100.0000%	122,000	480,000	307,960	15,090,040	2,774,727	159,510

Note 1: May 2006 Population estimates from the Dept. of Finance for 1/1/06
 Note 2: Includes State Controller's Office revised estimate of \$2,351,185 plus StanCOG accumulated reserve of \$583,052

Revised apportionment numbers are bolded

v:\stanocog\vb\initran\cost07-08\STEP1-08 Revised 1-9-08 #2
 12/27/07

TDA Admin Planning	122,000	STA PUC 99313	2,774,727
Nonmotorized	480,000	STA PUC 99314	159,510
Remaining LTF (input to Step 2)	307,960		
Total LTF	16,000,000		2,934,237

**REVISED STEP 2: DETERMINE LTF NEEDED FOR TRANSIT
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007/08
SCHEDULE 2**

INPUT FROM STEP 1 - REMAINING LTF
Minus LTF needed for transit (see below)
FY 2007/08 Transit Marketing
Total funds available for "Other" (input to Step 3)

15,090,040 Revised 1/9/08
11,912,719
25,000
3,152,321 Revised 1/9/08

	Total	Fares	Other	FTA Section 5307	FTA Section 5311	CMAQ	STA	LTF Carryover	New LTF Needed
CERES Fixed Operating	446,394	40,255	0	0	0	0	0	0	406,139
Fixed Capital	2,558	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,558
CERES DAR Operating	629,528	54,861	0	0	0	0	0	0	574,667
DAR Capital	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ceres Total	1,078,480	95,116	0	0	0	0	0	0	983,364
MODESTO Fixed Operating	10,115,079	2,065,108	99,700	1,187,598	0	0	2,913,823	330,601	3,498,249
Fixed Capital	2,820,855	0	0	1,357,098	0	0	0	0	1,463,757
MODESTO DAR Operating	2,358,614	160,000	0	918,184	0	0	0	109,633	1,170,797
DAR Capital	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MODESTO AMTRAK	250,406	0	0	195,628	0	0	0	0	54,778
MODESTO Transit Center	520,626	0	97,000	357,478	0	0	0	0	66,148
Modesto Total	16,065,580	2,245,108	196,700	4,015,986	0	0	2,913,823	440,234	6,263,729
ROTA Operating	979,800	97,980	5,000	0	0	0	0	0	876,820
ROTA Capital	165,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	51,587	113,413
ROTA Total	1,144,800	97,980	5,000	0	0	0	0	51,587	990,233
TURLOCK Fixed Operating	1,006,703	151,005	0	250,000	0	0	6,662	245,486	363,560
Fixed Capital	2,894,699	0	0	1,974,159	0	0	0	335,367	585,173
TURLOCK DAR Operating	731,223	109,683	0	250,000	0	0	0	192,032	179,508
DAR Capital	330,500	0	0	244,000	0	0	0	47,606	38,894
Turlock Total	4,963,125	260,688	0	2,718,159	0	0	6,662	820,491	1,157,125
STANISLAUS COUNTY Operating	3,262,308	358,695	0	389,037	389,037	0	13,752	781,870	1,718,954
County Capital	2,111,425	0	0	0	0	703,438	0	598,673	809,314
County Total	5,373,733	358,695	0	389,037	389,037	703,438	13,752	1,380,543	2,528,268
TOTAL	28,625,718	3,057,587	201,700	6,734,145	389,037	703,438	2,934,237	2,692,855	11,912,719

This sheet is as proposed to be amended by the Policy Board on 1/9/08 to reflect the LTF estimate for FY 2007/08 from \$18,300,000 to \$16,000,000.

AS PROPOSED JANUARY 3, 2008

Transit Cost Sharing Process
 Official StanCOG-Adopted Formula
 FY 2007/08 Local Transportation Funds
 Revised Step 3 of Transit Cost Sharing Process - Roads & Streets Apportionments
SCHEDULE 3

	Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11	Column 12	Column 13
	Funding Floor	Floor % (fixed)	Population Jan-06	Pop * %	Ceiling**	Control	Step 3 Funds	Minus PMP ***	Current Apportionment	As Previously Adopted on 11/14/07	Difference	Minus Financial Plan	Revised Total
Ceres	\$385,529	11.4525%	40,943	7.9598%	\$250,920	FLOOR	\$361,018	\$4,565	\$356,453	\$380,964	(\$24,511)	\$31,839	\$324,614
County	1,422,253	42.2492%	114,621	22.2838%	702,456	FLOOR	1,331,831	\$12,780	\$1,319,051	\$1,409,473	(\$90,422)	\$89,135	\$1,229,916
Hughson	82,205	2.4420%	6,127	1.1912%	37,549	FLOOR	76,979	\$683	\$76,296	\$81,522	(\$5,226)	\$4,765	\$71,531
Modesto	482,443	14.3314%	208,107	40.4586%	1,275,386	FLOOR	451,772	\$23,203	\$428,569	\$1,453,661	(\$1,025,092)	\$161,834	\$266,735
Newman	67,077	1.9926%	10,140	1.9713%	62,142	FLOOR	62,812	\$1,131	\$61,681	\$92,618	(\$30,937)	\$7,885	\$53,796
Oakdale	122,871	3.6500%	17,856	3.4714%	109,431	FLOOR	115,059	\$1,991	\$113,068	\$163,098	(\$50,030)	\$13,886	\$99,182
Patterson	102,763	3.0527%	19,269	3.7461%	118,090	FLOOR	96,230	\$2,148	\$94,082	\$176,005	(\$81,923)	\$14,985	\$79,097
Riverbank	117,803	3.4994%	21,215	4.1245%	130,016	FLOOR	110,313	\$2,365	\$107,948	\$193,780	(\$85,832)	\$16,498	\$91,450
Turlock	455,289	13.5247%	67,876	13.1959%	415,979	FLOOR	426,343	\$7,568	\$418,775	\$619,985	(\$201,210)	\$62,784	\$365,991
Waterford	128,109	3.8056%	8,216	1.5973%	50,352	FLOOR	119,964	\$916	\$119,048	\$127,193	(\$8,145)	\$6,389	\$112,659
Total Other	\$3,366,342	100.0000%	514,370	100.0000%	\$3,152,321		\$3,152,321	\$57,350	\$3,094,971	\$4,698,299	(\$1,603,327)	\$400,000	\$2,694,972

Enter Total Roads & Streets Received Here

* May 2006 population estimates from the Dept of Finance for 1/1/06.
 ** Population % times total Roads & Streets received.
 *** The City of Modesto is the lead agency for the Pavement Management Program (PMP) update. PMP amounts remain unchanged from 6/13/07 Policy Board apportionment action.

THE AMOUNTS IN COLUMNS 12 AND 13 ARE BEING PROVIDED FOR INFORMATION ONLY. IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT THE STANCOG POLICY BOARD WILL RE-VISIT ESTABLISHING A BUDGET FOR UPDATING THE EXPENDITURE PLAN, DEPENDENT UPON THE OUTCOME OF DATA GATHERED AND WORKSHOPS HELD BY STANCOG.

SCHEDULE 4

**STANISLAUS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
PROPOSED REVISED LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND APPORTIONMENTS
FOR FY 2007/08
JANUARY 9, 2008**

Claimant	Column 1 TDA Admin*	Column 2 Transp. Planning*	Column 3 Bike/ Ped*	Column 4 Transit**	Column 5 Roads/ Street	Column 6 PMP Update***	Total LTF
StanCOG	122,000	480,000		25,000			627,000
Ceres			24,513	983,364	356,453	4,565	1,368,895
County			68,625	2,528,268	1,319,051	12,780	3,928,724
Hughson			3,668		76,296	683	80,647
Modesto			124,596	6,253,729	428,569	23,203	6,830,097
Newman			6,071		61,681	1,131	68,883
Oakdale			10,691		113,068	1,991	125,750
Patterson			11,537		94,082	2,148	107,767
Riverbank			12,702		107,948	2,365	123,015
ROTA				990,233			990,233
Turlock			40,638	1,157,125	418,775	7,568	1,624,106
Waterford			4,919		119,048	916	124,883
Total	122,000	480,000	307,960	11,937,719	3,094,971	57,350	16,000,000

* These amounts are as approved on Schedule 1 of this staff report. They are shown again here for completeness only.

** Includes \$25,000 to StanCOG for transit marketing.

*** Modesto is the lead agency for the Pavement Management Program update.

PMP amounts remain unchanged from 6/13/07 Policy Board apportionment action.



Oral Reports

City of Ceres • City of Hughson • City of Modesto • City of Newman • City of Oakdale • City of Patterson
City of Riverbank • City of Turlock • City of Waterford • County of Stanislaus

TO: Policy Board **Staff Report
Motion**

THROUGH: Vince Harris, Executive Director *VH*

FROM: Charles Turner, Associate Planner *CT*

DATE: January 3, 2008

SUBJECT: North County Corridor Feasibility Study – Final Report

Recommendation

By Motion, accept the Final North County Corridor Feasibility Study.

Background

In November 2005, a Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued to solicit proposals to complete a Claribel Road alignment feasibility study. The study was amended to include a connection from Dale Road to McHenry Avenue in order to study an expressway concept from State Highway 99/Hammett Road to State Route 120 approximately 6.25 miles east of the City of Oakdale. Nolte Associates was selected to produce the study.

Discussion

StanCOG solicited proposals from firms interested in conducting a feasibility study in consideration of alternatives for the alignment of a major east/west expressway connecting State Route (SR) 99 to a proposed interchange on the new SR 120 expressway located approximately 6.25 miles east of the City of Oakdale. Specific deliverables from the Feasibility Study include:

- Community involvement,
- Technical advisory component to include jurisdictions directly impacted by the establishment of a North County Corridor,
- Collection of all relevant data, reports, studies and mapping from local jurisdictions as well as proposed development projects that could impact on corridor alignment and traffic analysis,
- Initial traffic analysis,
- Reduction of alternatives to a manageable number including "no build" alternative,
- Complete description of project alternatives, cost estimates (in current dollars),

- Construction phasing plan,
- Preliminary environmental evaluation,
- Right of Way estimate and proposed right of way lines, access control, easements, significant property ingress/egress modifications, utilities, canals, and railroad facilities,
- Any deviations from CalTrans design standards,
- Consideration of local jurisdictional requirements, SR 108 Management Team "Project Objectives," and Stanislaus City/County Visioning Plan.

Nolte Associates has completed the North County Corridor Feasibility Study and complied with the Scope of Services requirement delineated in the initial and amended request for proposal. Potential alignments along the corridor have been reduced from approximately seven alternatives to three. The alternatives under consideration have the consensus of the local jurisdictions and supported by local stakeholder input to continue more detailed project scoping, environmental and engineering studies.

The timely completion of this Feasibility Study as an informational resource will enable StanCOG in cooperation with the cities of Riverbank, Oakdale, Modesto, and Stanislaus County to initiate a Project Study Report (PSR) with the intention of including of the North County Corridor Project into the 2008 State Transportation Improvement Program.

Action

By Motion, recommend the Policy Board accept the Final North County Feasibility Study.

cturner@stancog.org



City of Ceres • City of Hughson • City of Modesto • City of Newman • City of Oakdale • City of Patterson
City of Riverbank • City of Turlock • City of Waterford • County of Stanislaus

TO: Policy Board Staff Report
Information
THROUGH: Vince Harris, Executive Director *VH*
FROM: Carlos Yamzon, Senior Planner *CY*
DATE: January 3, 2008
SUBJECT: Update – North County Corridor Project Study Report (PSR)

Background

At the November 14, 2007 StanCOG Policy Board meeting, a Resolution was passed authorizing the Executive Director to:

1. Release a Consultant Request for Proposal (RFP) to complete a Caltrans Project Study Report (PSR) for the North County Corridor Project.
2. Select the Consultant, negotiate a not-to-exceed \$150,000 contract and issue Notice-to-proceed.
3. Provide the StanCOG \$30,000 portion of funding for the consultant contract.

The \$150,000 consultant contract budget has been set to complete the PSR by April 1, 2008. Funding for this work element has been agreed-to as follows:

1. StanCOG	\$ 30,000
2. City of Oakdale	\$ 30,000
3. City of Riverbank	\$ 30,000
4. City of Modesto	\$ 30,000
5. County of Stanislaus	\$ <u>30,000</u>
TOTAL	\$150,000

Discussion

Based on the schedule outlined in the Executive Director's November 6, 2007 Staff Report, consultant interviews were conducted on December 21, 2007. There were four consultant firms that submitted proposals and were interviewed:

- David Evans and Associates, Inc.
- Mark Thomas & Company, Inc.
- Nolte and Associates, Inc.
- Jacobs Carter Burgess

The consultant interview / selection panel consisted of the following members:

- J.D. Hightower, Community Development Director, City of Riverbank
- Jeff Barnes, Traffic Engineer, City of Modesto
- Matt Machado, Director of Public Works, Stanislaus County
- Carlos Yamzon, Senior Planner, StanCOG

The City of Oakdale was also contacted for a position on the panel but declined because of scheduling conflicts.

The successful firm to receive the contract to complete the North County Corridor PSR was Jacobs Carter Burgess. The Executive Director was informed of this decision and concurs with the selection of the panel. The remaining activities are the Consultant Contract Negotiations and the Notice to Proceed on January 7, 2008.

Action

This is an Information / Discussion Item. Further direction may be provided at the discretion of the Policy Board.

cyamzon@stancog.org

v:\stancog\carlos\staff reports\North County Corridor PSR Consultant Selection Update Policy Board CY
Jan 3 2008

City of Ceres • City of Hughson • City of Modesto • City of Newman • City of Oakdale • City of Patterson
City of Riverbank • City of Turlock • City of Waterford • County of Stanislaus

TO: Policy Board

Staff Report
Discussion

THROUGH: Vince Harris, Executive Director 

FROM: Carlos Yamzon, Senior Planner 

DATE: January 4, 2007

SUBJECT: 2008 Draft Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)

Recommendation

For discussion only. The 2008 Draft Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) document is available for a 30-day public comment period.

Background

The 2008 RTIP is a state funded transportation program aimed primarily at regional, interregional and transportation enhancement type projects. The RTIP is one component in the larger funding program called the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The STIP is a five-year program that is updated every 2 years.

Discussion

In October of 2007, the California Transportation Commission (CTC) issued its 2008 STIP estimates. Within this estimate, funding targets are established for the Stanislaus County Regional Improvement Program (RIP) and for the Transportation Enhancement Program (TE). The following is a summary of those estimates:

- \$21.5 million total available to the region
- \$19.1 million in RIP funds
- \$1.4 million in TE funds
- Payback of the Oakdale Bypass of \$4 million
- \$2.4 million for Planning and Programming Management
- Remaining funds are approximately \$ 13.7 million in RIP funds

At the December 12, 2007 Policy Board Strategic Planning Workshop, members were briefed and identified potential priorities for funding of the 2008 STIP RIP funds that would include the following:

1. Oakdale Bypass/North County Corridor
2. Expressway/Corridor focus
3. Interchange priorities
4. Local streets/road funding
5. Rehabilitation Projects

The 2008 Draft RTIP will be presented as a handout for discussion at the CPC and TAC meetings on January 3, 2007. In the RTIP, staff has developed a draft-funding plan that addresses the above priorities, including four eligible projects that were submitted for STIP TE funds and three projects that were submitted for STIP highway funds. The RTIP will also contain projects that have been carried over from previous years that are still under development.

For the 2008 STIP, StanCOG makes the following recommendations:

- Program all TE reserve funds (\$2.7million) as well as the \$1.3 million based on a scoring committee's recommendation.
- Fully program two County STIP projects:
 - \$ 4.9 million - Claribel Road Widening from SR 108 / 219 to Oakdale Rd.
 - \$ 6.0 million - McHenry Ave. Widening from Ladd / Patterson Rd. to the Stanislaus River Bridge
- Program \$5.0 million for – Reconstruction of the SR 99/Service Mitchell Rd. Interchange in the City of Ceres
- Repay the \$4.0 million loan from the Oakdale Bypass

Additionally those agencies that did not receive funding in the last STIP TE and were awarded funds via resolution from the Policy Board, will receive a like amount for eligible projects submitted. StanCOG recently conducted a call for projects in November and received approximately four new projects. Six previously approved projects will also receive funding in this 2008 STIP. It is the intent to have all available TE funds programmed to projects in the 2008 STIP.

Of special note is the availability of funds for the STIP. It is anticipated that funds will not be available until 2011 and beyond. However, that does not prevent StanCOG from programming projects in earlier years, although it does not guarantee that funds will be available. There are several mechanisms to advance funds that can be utilized when the timing is appropriate.

Staff recommendations are based on the priorities discussed by the Policy Board at the December 12, 2007 Workshop. The two County projects and the repayment to the Oakdale Bypass project are consistent with the strategic emphasis of improving regional mobility in north Stanislaus County and it promotes the development of the North County Corridor project. Additionally, the Ceres project is consistent with the region's interchange priorities.

Pending further discussion at the CPC, TAC and January 9th Policy Board meetings, these recommendations will be placed on the February 13, 2008 Policy Board agenda for action. The Policy Board will conduct a public hearing at this meeting and will have a recommendation to adopt the 2008 RTIP. The draft will be circulating through all committees in the months of January and February 2008.

Committee Action

This staff report and RTIP handout was discussed in more detail at the CPC and TAC meetings. At the CPC, meeting Oakdale questioned about a D Street Extension road project that was submitted but not included in the list, and Modesto inquired about the potential inclusion of the SR 99 Pelandale Interchange project. This discussion continued with the TAC, specifically regarding the recommended programming and allocation of funds to projects based on the regional priorities. A specific concern was brought up by Ceres with regards to the basic calculation that if projects were added and the recommendations stayed the same, a higher priority project such as the SR 99 Service / Mitchell Interchange could potentially get less than a local road project such as Oakdale D Street Extension. Additionally, Ceres also recommended that the regional priorities be numbered for clarification, which is how it is now presented in this report.

The staff recommendations as presented were based on the three submitted projects at the time the CPC and TAC staff reports were prepared and submitted. With the addition of the Oakdale project, and a subsequent request from Modesto to add the SR 99 Pelandale project, additional analysis will be needed to screen how five projects, instead of three can be accommodated in the RTIP. In addition to the established regional priorities, this screening can be based on project readiness, a complete project development phase to be funded, local match, and the actual inclusion of the project in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP).

Staff will provide more detail on this updated information and present several possible recommendations at the January 9th, 2008 Policy Board meeting to allow members to begin this discussion.

This is a Discussion Item. Further direction may be provided at the discretion of the Policy Board.

cyamzon@stancog.org

2008 Draft RTIP
(\$1,000's)

Total County Share, July 30, 2007 (from 2007 Report)	142,484
Less 2005-06 Allocations and Closed Projects	(3,731)
Less Projects Lapsed, July 1, 2006, June 30, 2007	(652)
2008 STIP Fund Estimate Formula Distribution	15,283
2008 STIP Fund Estimate Adjustment, Prior Year Lapses	924
Total County Share, June 30, 2008, (includes TE)	154,308

Stanislaus

Agency	Route	PPNO	Project	Total	Prior	09-09	10-11	11-12	12-13	R/W	Const.	E & P	PS&E	R/W Sup	Con Sup
Calltrans	120	941	Oakdale Bypass * (RIP repavmt)	4,000	0	4,000	0	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000	0	0
Calltrans	219	9940	4-lane expressway, Dale Rd intersection (Phase 1a)	2,770	2,770	0	0	0	0	0	2,770	0	0	0	0
Calltrans	219	9940	4-lane expressway, RI 99-Dale Rd (phase 1b)	35,020	32,780	2,240	0	0	0	28,000	240	1,520	2,000	3,260	0
Calltrans	219	9940	4-lane expressway, Dale Rd-RT 108 (phase 2)	29,447	21,000	0	8,447	0	0	17,000	4,947	0	2,000	2,000	3,500
Calltrans	99	9401	Whitmore Ave Interchange	46,068	46,068	0	0	0	0	13,000	23,404	580	2,684	2,420	4,000
Ceres			Reconstruct SR-99/Service Rd/Mitchell Rd Interchange	2,750	0	2,750	0	0	0	2,750	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	loc		Clanbel Road Widening from SR-108 to SR-219	4,952	0	0	4,952	0	0	0	4,952	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	108		McHenry Ave Widening from Ladd Rd-Patterson Rd	6,000	0	0	6,000	0	0	0	6,000	0	0	0	0
StanCOG		9953	Planning, Programming, and Monitoring	3,218	30	606	606	606	764	0	3,218	0	0	0	0
			Subtotal, Non-TE/PTA Projects	134,225	102,648	6,846	11,803	606	764	60,750	45,531	4,100	8,664	7,650	7,500
			TE (includes past reserve and 2008 TE FE)	3,627											
Riverbank	te	19e	Riverbank, RT 108 Callendar/Patterson, landscaping	192	192	0	0	0	0	0	192	0	0	0	0
Riverbank	te	19f	Riverbank, RT 108 7th-8th, landscaping	102	102	0	0	0	0	0	102	0	0	0	0
Riverbank	te	19g	Riverbank, RT 108, 1st - Prestwick, landscaping	150	150	0	0	0	0	0	150	0	0	0	0
Hughson	te	19b	Hughson Ave, 3rd-Charles, ped walkway	84	84	0	0	0	0	0	84	0	0	0	0
Modesto	te	19a	Virginia UPRR bike path, Bowen-Rumble (corr 12-05)	200	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	0
Newman	te		Historic Downtown Plaza	517	0	517	0	0	0	0	517	0	0	0	0
Turlock	te	19c	Christoffersen Pky, landscape median from Gear to North Olive	409	0	409	0	0	0	0	409	0	0	0	0
Turlock	te		Countyside Drive, landscape median from Toullume to Fullenrh	83	0	83	0	0	0	0	83	0	0	0	0
Turlock	te		Golden State Blvd, landscape median from Roberts to Christoffersen Pky	487	0	487	0	0	0	0	487	0	0	0	0
Turlock	te	19d	Golden State Blvd, landscape median from Monte Vista to 1700 Ft. south	347	0	347	0	0	0	0	347	0	0	0	0
Turlock	te		Golden State Blvd, landscape median from Monte Vista to Roberts	140	0	140	0	0	0	0	140	0	0	0	0
Patterson	te		Roadabout/landscaping	348	0	348	0	0	0	0	348	0	0	0	0
Riverbank	te		Oakdale Rd Beautification	840	0	840	0	0	0	0	840	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	te		Clanbel Rd Class I bike Path from SR 108 to SR 219	840	0	840	0	0	0	0	840	0	0	0	0
			Subtotal, Transportation Enhancement (TE) Projects	4,380	728	2,672	140	840	0	0	4,180	0	200	0	0
			Total Programmed	138,605											
			Balance of STIP County Share, Stanislaus	142,484											
			Total County Share, June 30, 2007	133,124											
			Total Now Programmed or Voted Since July 1, 2006	9,360											
			Unprogrammed Share Balance	0											
			Share Balance Advanced or Overdrawn	0											

New Funds Available	
Total STIP Available from 2008 Fund Estimate Target	\$ 21,494
PPM Available from 2008 FE - FY2008/09 - 2011/2012	\$ 2,426
subtotal	\$ 19,068
Payback Oakdale BP borrowed for SR-99/Whitmore Intchg	\$ 4,000
subtotal	\$ 15,068
TE Available from 2008 FE; new	\$ 1,366
subtotal	\$ 13,702
Clanbel road widening from SR-108 to SR-219 (County)	\$ 4,952
subtotal	\$ 8,750
McHenry Ave Widening from Ladd Rd-Patterson Rd (County)	\$ 6,000
subtotal	\$ 2,750
Service/Mitchell Intchg - ROW (Ceres)	\$ 2,750

New Projects or changes



Information Items