



ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor
MIKE CHRISMAN, Secretary for Natural Resources

**ENVIRONMENTAL ENHANCEMENT AND MITIGATION
PROGRAM
2009-2010 Grant Cycle**

GUIDELINES AND APPLICATION

APPLICATION DEADLINE: Monday, November 16, 2009

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA
OCTOBER 2009**

<http://www.resources.ca.gov/eem/>

<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/EEM/homepage.htm>



CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. GENERAL INFORMATION
2. PROJECT GUIDELINES
 - ELIGIBLE EEMP PROJECTS
 - RELATED TRANSPORTATION FACILITY
 - MINIMUM PROJECT REQUIREMENTS
 - GRANT WRITING TIPS
3. GRANT SELECTION & IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS
4. PROJECT PROPOSAL NARRATIVE: EVALUATION CRITERIA
5. GENERAL CRITERIA QUESTIONS
6. PROJECT CRITERIA QUESTIONS
 - 6.1 HIGHWAY LANDSCAPE AND URBAN FORESTRY
 - 6.2 RESOURCES LANDS
 - 6.3 ROADSIDE RECREATION
7. WHAT TO SUBMIT
8. COSTS AND ACCOUNTING REQUIREMENTS
9. CONTACT INFORMATION
10. APPENDICES
 - A. CHECKLIST FOR WHAT TO SUBMIT
 - B. APPLICATION FORM & ASSURANCES
 - C. LETTER FROM RTF LEAD AGENCY
 - D. SAMPLE COST ESTIMATES
 - E. SAMPLE RESOLUTION TEMPLATE
 - F. CALTRANS DISTRICT OFFICES

1. INTRODUCTION

1-1. Purpose and Authority

These procedures and criteria guide the evaluation and selection of projects under the Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Program (EEMP). This program, as provided by Streets and Highways Code Section 164.56, authorizes the allocation of up to \$10 million each year for grants to mitigate the environmental impacts of modified or new public transportation facilities.

The Natural Resources Agency (Agency) prescribes procedures and criteria to evaluate grant proposals and submits a list of proposals recommended for funding to the California Transportation Commission (CTC). The CTC annually awards reimbursement grants to fund proposals from the Agency's list. The Department of Transportation (Caltrans) administers the Grant Agreement.

1-2. Eligible Applicants

Any State, local, federal or 501(c)(3) non-profit entity may apply for and receive grants. The agency or entity is not required to be a transportation or highway related organization, but must be able to demonstrate adequate charter or enabling authority to carry out the type of project proposed. Two or more entities may participate in a project with one designated as the lead agency.

1-3. Timeline

Applications for funding in the 2009-2010 fiscal year (July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010) must be received in the office by **4:00 p.m. Monday, November 16, 2009.**

Direct all inquires, correspondence and grant Applications to:

Natural Resources Agency
Attn: EEMP Coordinator
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 651-7593
eemcoordinator@resources.ca.gov

1-4. North/South Split

In accordance with the provisions of Section 187 and 188 of the Streets and Highways Code, an attempt will be made to allocate 40 percent of the total amount recommended to projects in northern counties and 60 percent of the total amount to projects in southern counties. The southern counties are: San Luis Obispo, Kern, Mono, Tulare, Inyo, Santa Barbara, Ventura, Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Orange, Riverside, San Diego, and Imperial. For purposes of this north/south split, all other counties are considered northern counties.

1-5. Project Funding

Grants for individual projects are generally limited to \$350,000. Applicants must provide a Project Cost Estimate (See Appendix D) itemizing the *total* EEMP project cost, the portion to be funded by the EEMP grant, and the amount to be funded from other sources.

- A. The Agency may recommend awards exceeding the \$350,000 guideline for acquisition projects only, based on consideration of unique or unusual factors, including, but not limited to, maximum benefits in a one-time or limited opportunity, acquisition of resource lands of a considerable size, substantial leveraging, and/or projects with high statewide significance.
- B. No matching funds are required for the EEM Program. However, additional points will be given to applications which include other sources of funds for the proposed EEMP project.
- C. If the Applicant has obtained, or is planning to obtain, other sources of funds for the project, each source of funds must be shown in the Application Cost Estimate.
- D. If funding will be coming from other grants, especially State of California bond funds, the **Applicant must identify each source of funding separately and specify the amount and its proposed use.**
- E. Applicants must explicitly describe the status of these other grant funds in the Application narrative.(i.e., have been granted, applied for but awaiting granting agency's decision, to be applied for in the future, etc.)
- F. If the Applicant is receiving or expects to receive other grant funds for the EEMP Project directly from a State of California entity, the Applicant must provide the name of the granting entity and a contact person's name, address, phone number, and e-mail address (if any).

Important! If the Application states the EEMP grant will fund a *percentage* of the Project, this percentage will be used later to calculate reimbursements to the grantee. Therefore it is important to realistically assess the scope of the project and the reliability of other funding sources before including them in the project Cost Estimate.

2. PROJECT GUIDELINES

2-1. Eligible EEMP Projects

The Categories of EEMP projects eligible for funding are:

- A. **Highway Landscaping and Urban Forestry** projects are designed to offset vehicular emissions of carbon dioxide.
- B. **Resource Lands** projects are the acquisition or enhancement of resource lands to mitigate the loss of, or the detriment to, resource lands lying within or near the right-of-way acquired for transportation improvements.
- C. **Roadside Recreation** projects provide for the acquisition and/or development of roadside recreational opportunities.

2-2. Related Transportation Facility (RTF)

- A. Every EEMP project must be directly or indirectly related to the environmental impact of the modification of an existing Transportation Facility, or the environmental impact of the construction of a new Transportation Facility ^{1/} (hereafter referred to as Related Transportation Facility or RTF.)
- B. For purposes of this program, a Related Transportation Facility is defined as a public street, highway, mass transit guideway or their appurtenant features (e.g. park and ride facilities, high-occupancy vehicle lanes, transit stations, etc.)
- C. The Related Transportation Facility CANNOT be the same as the EEMP project.
- D. “Directly” and “indirectly” refer to:

The geographic location of the two projects:

Directly	Indirectly
The EEMP project is in the immediate vicinity of the RTF,	The EEMP project is in the general area of the RTF

The type of benefit produced by the two projects:

Directly (example)	Indirectly (example)
The EEMP project replaces pine trees removed during construction of the RTF.	The EEMP project develops a scenic viewpoint to mitigate the removal of pine trees during construction of the RTF

- E. It is up to the Applicant to demonstrate the connection between the Related Transportation Project and the EEMP project.
- Applicant must describe the RTF thoroughly and provide location maps or site plans.
 - Applicant must establish that there was environmental damage caused by the RTF. Applicant must include CEQA documents for the RTF as part of this Application package. These CEQA documents must show what *environmental damage* the RTF created, and what *mitigation* was required by law.
 - Applicant must establish that the EEMP project mitigates the environmental damage caused by the RTF **above and beyond** the mitigation required by law.
 - The more *directly* the EEMP project mitigates that environmental damage the higher the project will score on those criteria.
- F. The Related Transportation Facility must be a project
- Where construction began after January 1, 1990; or
 - Which is not yet under construction but is included in an adopted State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) or in a locally adopted regional transportation improvement program and certified capital outlay program
- G. If the Related Transportation Facility is to be constructed in separate and distinct phases, each phase may be considered a separate project for purposes of this definition, provided that each phase creates an operable transportation improvement. Separate applications must submitted for each project.
- H. Since only transportation facility projects that result in adverse environmental impacts qualify for purposes of this grant program, **not all transportation facility projects qualify as a Related Transportation Facility.** In general, those projects that are strictly replacement construction or which fall into the category of "maintenance" would not qualify.
- (For example, pavement resurfacing, repainting a bridge or a replanting project would not under most circumstances have an adverse environmental impact and would be considered maintenance. Additionally, a project such as constructing a sound barrier, which in itself mitigates an environmental impact, would not qualify.)
- I. In contrast, projects which involve a significant change in the capacity or configuration or physical lay-out of the facility may qualify.
- (For example, widening a highway, adding a High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lane, or constructing a frontage road probably would have an adverse environmental impact and might qualify.)
- J. Under circumstances where a transportation project received a Negative Declaration or Categorical Exemption and is not required to provide mitigation, the Applicant may

be aware of cumulative impacts which had unforeseen negative environmental impacts. In this case EEMP funding might be feasible if certain conditions apply. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to:

- Establish the cumulative impacts of the RFT; and
- Demonstrate how the EEMP project would mitigate them.

(For example, the EEMP project may propose to offset the cumulative impacts of a transportation project which had an unforeseen increase in urban traffic or unforeseen increase in accidents with animals in a wildlife corridor.)¹

2-3. Minimum Project Requirements

EEMP projects that fail to meet ALL of the following minimum requirements will not be considered:

- A. All EEMP projects must establish and demonstrate a direct or indirect relationship to the modification or construction of a Related Transportation Facility (RTF).
- B. The RTF must have a negative impact on the environment.
- C. CEQA compliance must be completed for the RTF.
- D. Applicant must provide CEQA documents for the RTF as part of the Application Package. (See Appendix C.)
- E. CEQA documents should establish
 - what environmental damage the RTF created; and
 - what mitigation was required by law.
- F. The EEMP project must be different from the RTF
- G. The EEMP project must mitigate the environmental damage caused by the RTF.
- H. The EEMP project mitigation must be **in addition** to the mitigation required by CEQA.
- I. The EEMP project cannot **supplant** mitigation required by CEQA.
- J. The EEMP mitigation, if in or near the right-of-way, must be compatible with and not interfere with the operation or safety of the transportation facilities.
- K. The EEMP mitigation must not limit currently planned or anticipated future improvements to the transportation facility.

- L. If the EEMP project is on State-owned right of way, applicable State design and construction standards and practices shall be used. If the EEMP project is off State-owned right of way, applicable design and construction standards and practices of the local government having jurisdiction over the project location shall apply. All necessary encroachment permits to access right of way must be obtained before construction may begin on a project.
- M. If a transportation facility is constructed in separate and distinct phases, each phase may be considered a separate project for purposes of this definition, provided that each phase provides an operable transportation improvement.

2-4 Pitfalls

Some EEMP proposals may not pass the initial “minimum requirements” screening. Common defects that cause Applications to be disqualified from further consideration are:

- A. There is no specific Related Transportation Facility clearly Identified.
- B. The RTF is a planning project that, in and of itself, caused no negative environmental impacts. It is *future* projects based on the plan that may cause the environmental impacts.
- C. Since the RTF received a Categorical Exemption or a Negative Declaration it does not appear to have caused any negative environmental impacts either directly or cumulatively.
- D. The RTF was built before 1990.
- E. CEQA has not been completed for the RTF and therefore the required mitigation has yet to be established.
- F. There is no *specific* EEMP project identified, or the EEMP project lacks detail and specificity. (For example: the particular parcels to be acquired are not identified.)
- G. The EEMP project is the *same as or part of* the mitigation required by CEQA for the RTF.
- H. For Resources Lands Applications – if the RTF does not specifically impact “Resources Lands” the application is not eligible.

2-5 Competitiveness

Other EEMP proposals may not be competitive when compared to the pool of Applicants. Deficiencies include:

- A. The Applicant does not demonstrate a strong connection between environmental damage caused by the RTF and the proposed EEMP project.
- B. The Applicant does not demonstrate that the EEMP project will actually mitigate the environmental damage caused by the RTF.
- C. The Applicant is aware that the RTF *has* caused significant, negative environmental impacts even though it received a Categorical Exemption or a Negative Declaration, but the Applicant fails to sufficiently make the case or to explain the cumulative or late-occurring negative impacts, or describe how the RTF caused them.
- D. CEQA documents for the RTF were not provided, or do not describe the *required* mitigation for the RTF, therefore it cannot be demonstrated that the EEMP mitigation is *above and beyond* the *required* mitigation.

3. GRANT SELECTION & IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS

3-1. The Application review and selection process is as follows:

- Applications are received by the Natural Resources Agency on November 16, 2009.
- Applications are reviewed for completeness.
- Applications are evaluated by the “Technical Review Committee” (Committee).
- The Committee recommends projects for funding to the Secretary for Natural Resources.
- The Natural Resources Agency sends a list of recommended projects and funding amounts to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) in early 2010.
- It is anticipated that the CTC will give preliminary approval to projects to be funded by Spring 2010.
- Funding allocations will be made at that time or at a subsequent CTC meeting.

3-2. Caltrans executes and administers a contract called the Applicant-State Agreement (Agreement) for each approved grant. The Agreement is the instrument through which the Applicant receives reimbursement for expended project costs. After the CTC approves funding for an EEMP project, Caltrans sends the Applicant a proposed Agreement. If the proposed Agreement is not returned to Caltrans within 30 calendar days of issuance, Caltrans will contact the Applicant to determine if the project remains viable. If Caltrans determines that an Agreement is unlikely to be executed by June 2010, Caltrans will retract the offered Agreement and will offer an Agreement to the next highest Applicant in priority.

3-3. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) clearance documents for EEMP projects are required by Caltrans prior to being awarded funding. Therefore, CEQA documents must be received by Caltrans no later than **Friday, February 26, 2010** in order for the

project to be considered at the CTC meeting. EEMP Projects without environmental clearance documents will not be considered for funding.

- 3-4. Once the Agreement is in place the Applicant must submit its first invoice for reimbursement to Caltrans within one year from the date the Agreement is executed. If the Applicant is unable to submit an invoice within this time period, they must submit a Statement of Project Progress appropriate to the project that provides assurances that the project will be completed prior to April 30, 2012. Solid assurance includes but is not limited to: project bid advertisement or firm advertisement schedule, entry into escrow for acquisitions, date project plans will be completed, award letter from other funding source for the project, etc.

4. PROJECT PROPOSAL & EVALUATION CRITERIA

4.1 Preparing your Grant Application Package

The Grant Application Package contains four sections:

- Application Form & Assurances
- One Page Summary
- Project Proposal Narrative
- Exhibits and Supporting Documents

(See Section 7 - "What to Submit" and Appendix A – Checklist for What to Submit.)

- 4.2 The Project Proposal Narrative is the basis for the Committee's evaluation. It provides details on the RTF and EEMP Projects, and should demonstrate to the committee how effectively the EEMP Project meets the goals of the EEM Program.

Each Applicant should answer questions for the General Criteria as well as *one* of the Project Category Criteria. Projects will be evaluated on both General Criteria and one of the Project Category Criteria by assigning values based on a point system within the ranges indicated. A maximum of 100 points may be assigned to any one project.

Respond to all questions in Sections 5 and 6 in the order listed and clearly label each question and answer.

- Limit the Project Proposal Narrative to 5 numbered pages.
- Use 8 ½" x 11" paper with 12-point easy-to-read font.
- The one-page summary and the supporting documents DO NOT count as part of the 5 pages.

- 4.3 Projects will be evaluated by the Committee using the following criteria and scoring allocation:

General Criteria (45 points)

- A. Increased Mitigation and Enhancement (0-15 points)
- B. Statewide Project Goals (0-10 points)
- C. Local Cash Contributions (0-5 points)
- D. Project Readiness (0-15 points)

Project Category Criteria (55 points)

- A. Highway Landscaping and Urban Forestry
 - 1. Suitability (0-20 points)
 - 2. Sustainability (0-20 points)
 - 3. Cost Effectiveness (0-10 points)
 - 4. Other Benefits and Community Participation (0-5 points)

-OR-

- B. Resource Lands
 - 1. Resource Value of Lands Lost or Injured by RFT (0-10 points)
 - 2. Resource Value of EEMP Project Lands (0-20 points)
 - 3. Sustainability (0-10 points)
 - 4. Cost Effectiveness (0-10 points)
 - 5. Other Benefits and Community Participation (0-5 points)

-OR-

- C. Roadside Recreation
 - 1. Value of Recreational Opportunities (0-10 points)
 - 2. Need for EEMP Project (0-20 points)
 - 3. Sustainability (0-10 points)
 - 4. Cost Effectiveness (0-10 points)
 - 5. Other Benefits and Community Participation (0-5 points)

5. GENERAL CRITERIA QUESTIONS

EEMP projects will be evaluated on the following criteria. Answer all the questions in this section in narrative form. Provide quantitative explanations in support of each criterion whenever possible. :

- A. Increased Mitigation and Enhancement (0-15 points)

EEMP Projects will be rated the highest that provide the greatest and most appropriate degree of mitigation (or enhancement to mitigation) for the environmental damage caused by the RTF. The mitigation provided by the EEMP

project must be *over and above* that required by per the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). It can enhance, but not replace, the mitigation required under CEQA. In order to establish the effectiveness of the EEMP project, the Applicant must first establish what environmental damage was caused by the RTF, and what mitigation was required by law.

1. Describe the RTF in detail.
2. What are the negative environmental impacts of the RTF?
3. Provide a concise, but complete explanation of the required mitigation that was or will be undertaken as part of the RTF;
4. Clearly differentiate the **required** mitigation from the **additional** mitigation proposed in the EEMP project;
5. Describe the proximity of the EEMP project to the RTF;
6. Establish *how* the EEMP project will further mitigate the environmental impact of the RTF.

Additional consideration will be given to the following:

1. Does the EEMP project reinforce, complement, or fill a deficiency or need in a larger area, complex, or system? If yes, explain.
2. Has the EEMP project been identified as a statewide priority in plans, policies, or other pronouncements? If yes, explain.

(For example, an EEMP project that preserves wildlife habitat lands adjacent to other protected wildlife habitat lands may provide greater protection than a smaller, separate habitat lands project; or adding to an existing greenbelt or trail system may provide greater mitigation benefits than a smaller, separate urban forestry or recreation project.)

B. Statewide Project Goals (0-10 points)

Consistent with the intent of the program, the CTC seeks to fund a diverse group of projects that serve the largest number of people in the widest area possible. Consequently, projects that demonstrate their relevance to larger planning processes (i.e., regional, city, county, State) and have obtained outside financial support will be given priority in this category.

1. How does the EEMP project contribute to statewide resource priorities to protect and preserve the natural environment?
2. Is the EMMP project part of a comprehensive, regional initiative to protect and enhance the State's natural heritage?
3. Does the EEMP project protect habitat or restore ecosystems, including conservation of agricultural lands?
4. Does the EEMP project or acquire wetlands?
5. Does the EEMP project provide additional recreational access?
6. Does the EEMP project enhance or expand the State Park System?

7. Does the EEMP project acquire open space by state, local or regional entities?
8. Does the EEMP project meet other statewide resource priorities?

C. Local Cash Contributions / Other Sources of Funds (0-5 points)

Projects that contribute the greatest proportion of other sources of monetary funding to project development will be rated the highest. ^{1/}

1. Identify the sources and amount of funds already committed to the project and expected timing of the funds.
2. Cite specific dollar amounts for cash contributions, in-kind services, volunteer effort, donated labor and materials, technical expertise, etc.

To be evaluated and given appropriate credit, include the above information in the Project Cost Estimate as part of your Application Package. (Appendix D)

If a project is ultimately approved for funding, the ratio of EEMP funds to other sources of funds specified in the project cost estimate will be used by Caltrans for the purposes of reimbursement.

IMPORTANT! If the Application states the EEMP grant will fund a *percentage* of the Project, this percentage will be used to calculate reimbursements to the grantee. Therefore it is important to realistically assess the scope of the project and the reliability of other funding sources before including them in the project Cost Estimate.

D. Project Readiness (0-15 points)

EEMP funds must be expended within three years of the budgeted year. Therefore, projects that can be started and completed most readily will be given higher ratings.

1. Has the RTF been completed?
2. If not, has it been started?
3. If not, have funds been specifically appropriated for it?
4. Has (CEQA) been completed for the RTF? (This is a requirement of the grant program.)
5. Has the required mitigation been completed?
6. Are the EEMP project designs/specifications finished?
7. Is the EEMP project permitting complete?
8. Will the project require any permits or lengthy environmental clearance?
9. Is the EEMP project consistent with State, regional, and local plans?
10. Does the Applicant have prior experience with this kind of project?
11. Has the Applicant received a prior EEMP grant? If so, state project name(s), year(s) and outcome.
12. Are matching funds for the EEMP project committed and readily available?
13. Can the EEMP project be completed by 2012?

14. For acquisitions only:
- a. Identify the particular parcels to be acquired.
 - b. Have they been appraised?
 - c. Has a title report been issued?
 - d. Is a purchase agreement in place with the seller?

6. PROJECT CATEGORY CRITERIA QUESTIONS

Select **ONE** of the following Categories for your proposed EEMP project. In the Project Proposal Narrative of your Application, address all criteria in your Category, and provide quantitative explanations in support of each criteria whenever possible.

6.1 Highway Landscaping and Urban Forestry Projects (HLUF)

Highway Landscaping and Urban Forestry Projects are designed to offset vehicular emissions of carbon dioxide through the planting of trees and other suitable plants.¹ Projects may be within or outside the right-of-way of the RTF, however, within the public road right-of-way, EEMP funds are limited to trees.

Projects in the Highway Landscaping and Urban Forestry category will be evaluated on the following:

A. Suitability (0-20 points)

1. Describe the environmental condition of the EEMP project site.
2. Explain how vehicular emissions of carbon dioxide created by the RTF will be addressed by the EEMP Project.
3. What is the geographic proximity of the EEMP project to the RTF?

Projects that demonstrate the following will be given priority in this category. Explain how the EEMP project -

1. Provides maximum environmental benefits over the long term.
2. Serves the greatest geographic area and/or number of people.
3. Is consistent with regional habitat management or conservation objectives.

B. Sustainability (0-20 points)

Projects where plantings, once established, will thrive without the need for supplemental irrigation will be given priority in this category: Consideration will be

¹ Carbon sequestration is the process by which CO₂ is transformed into above- and below ground biomass and stored as carbon. Not all trees are equal when it comes to carbon sequestration. For "suitable" trees see the U.S. Forest Service's Tree Carbon Calculator at the Center for Urban Forest Research. <http://www.fs.fed.us/ccrc/topics/urban-forests/>

given for the type of habitat (urban, forest, riparian/wetlands, restoring natives, etc.)

1. Are selected trees and other plants environmentally tolerant to drought, smog, soil compaction, frost, wind, etc.?
2. Will the project utilize native plants and promote species diversity to reduce the effects of insects and diseases?
3. Will tree and other plant species selected be ecologically and physically appropriate for their function in the planting space available?
4. Will the initial size selected for the planting area have the best chance for survival and growth on the project site?
5. What are the environmental benefits of the species selected?
6. Describe provisions for plant establishment and long-term maintenance.
7. What provisions have been made for plantings that fail (e.g. a budget for removal and/or replacement)?
8. Will the project use recycled or reclaimed water?

If maintenance is to be performed by another entity, please include evidence of concurrence from that entity.

C. Cost Effectiveness (0-10 points)

Projects that provide the greatest number of trees and plants and yield the greatest potential for long-term carbon dioxide uptake / sequestration at the least cost will be ranked highest under this criterion. Consideration will be given for the type of habitat (urban, forest, riparian/wetlands, restoring natives, etc.)

1. How many trees will be planted in how much space?
2. What species of trees and plants will be used? (Include both scientific and common names)
3. What will be the size and cost for each of the trees and plants proposed? If trees larger than 15-gallon container size are used, what is the justification?
4. What type of irrigation system will be installed?
5. What is the water source and cost?
6. What will be the establishment cost per tree, considering species and installation over the first five years following planting?
7. Water source and cost?
8. What type of irrigation system will be used, if any?

Remember that reimbursement for the cost of vegetation planted in public road right-of-way is limited to trees.

D. Other Benefits and Community Participation (0-5 points)

Projects that provide other benefits (i.e., benefits of other Categories -- Roadside Recreation and Resource Lands) and/or demonstrate local and community support will receive points under this criterion.

1. If the EEMP project is outside the right-of-way of the RTF, will the trees and other plants be planted in an area that provides reasonable public access for recreational opportunities?
2. Will the project preserve or restore wildlife and natural habitat?
3. If the project is outside of the RTF right-of-way, will the project maximize citizen involvement in project planning and implementation?
4. Will the project provide community stewardship opportunities for long-term maintenance?
5. Will volunteer labor and/or donated materials be utilized?

If volunteer services or donated items will be used, provide a description of what will be provided, including value - listed separately from cash contributions.

6.2 Resource Lands Projects (RL)

Resource Lands Projects include the acquisition, restoration or enhancement of resource lands to mitigate the loss of, or the detriment to, resource lands lying within or near the right-of-way for the Related Transportation Facility.

Resource lands include natural areas, wetlands, forests, woodlands, meadows, streams or other areas containing fish or wildlife habitat. Enhancement of resource lands may include the restoration of wildlife corridors and fish passages. Additionally, resource lands may contain features of archaeological or historical value.

IMPORTANT: “Resource Lands” MUST be impacted by the RTF in order to qualify in this category.

Projects in the Resource Lands category will be evaluated on the following:

A. Resource Value of Lands Lost or Injured by the RTF (0-10 points)

1. Describe the environmental condition of the Resources Lands impacted by the RTF.
2. Where are these lands located?
3. Are they within, or near, the RTF right-of-way?
4. How are they directly impacted by the RTF?
5. What value is lost by this impact?
6. What mitigation was required by CEQA?

B. Resource Value of EEMP Project Lands (0-20 points)

1. Describe the environmental condition of the Resources Lands to be acquired or enhanced.
2. What is the geographic proximity of the EEMP Resource Lands to the RTF impacted Resources Lands?

3. How will this acquisition or enhancement mitigate the impact to the RTF Resource Lands?
4. Differentiate the EEMP acquisition, restoration or enhancement from the required mitigation.
5. Is this a one-time or limited opportunity acquisition?
6. Is the property of considerable size?
7. Has the Applicant secured substantial leveraging?
8. Are the lands of high statewide significance?

Acquisitions or enhancements to resource lands which impact the following will be rated the highest.

1. Will the EEMP Resource Lands protect ecosystems, watersheds, and/or other natural systems?
2. Do they contain rare, threatened, or endangered species and their habitats?
3. Are there special wildlife values such as wildlife corridors, nesting and breeding areas, wetlands, woodlands, and riparian habitat?
4. Will the EEMP project protect agricultural lands, or features of archaeological or historical value?

C. Sustainability (0-10 points)

Projects that provide the most reasonable assurance that the resource lands to be acquired or enhanced will be maintained and protected will be ranked the highest under this criterion.

Describe your plans for ongoing stewardship.

If maintenance is to be performed by another entity, please include evidence of concurrence from that entity.

D. Cost Effectiveness (0-10 points)

Projects that provide the greatest benefit by acquiring, restoring or enhancing the most extensive or most critical resources lands will receive points under this criterion. Consideration will be given for the type of habitat and location.

1. How many acres will be acquired, restored or enhanced?

For acquisitions:

2. What is the Fair Market Value of the property?
3. Has the seller discounted the sale price?

For restorations or enhancements:

4. Describe methodologies planned for removal of exotics and re-vegetation.
5. What is the projected establishment time and cost?
6. Describe the planting palette.
 - a. Are the species and size of plants appropriate to the habitat?
 - b. Are native or drought tolerant plants being used?
7. What is the water source and cost?
8. What percentage of the Project Cost Estimate is for labor vs. materials?

E. Other Benefits and Community Participation (0-5 points)

Projects that provide other benefits (i.e., benefits of other Categories -- Highway Landscaping and Urban Forestry and Roadside Recreation) and/or demonstrate community support will receive points under this criterion.

1. Will the project increase public access and recreational opportunities?
2. Will the project increase opportunities for interpretive and/or environmental education?
3. Will the project offset vehicular emissions of carbon dioxide through the planting of trees or other suitable plants?
4. Will there be active citizen participation in planning and/or maintenance of the project?
5. Is the project using volunteer labor and/or donated materials?

If volunteer services or donated items will be used, provide a description of what will be provided, including value - listed separately from cash contributions.

6.3 Roadside Recreation Projects (RR)

Roadside Recreation Projects are those which provide for the acquisition and/or development of roadside recreational opportunities, including parks and greenways, roadside rests, scenic overlooks, trails and trailheads, parks and snow-parks.

Projects in the Roadside Recreation category will be evaluated on the following:

A. Value of the Recreational Opportunities (0-10 points)

1. Is there a deficiency of similar opportunities along that roadway?
2. Who will be served by the EEMP project?
3. What is the estimated visitor use?
4. Does the project connect to or complement other nearby recreation areas or facilities?
5. Does the project increase opportunities for interpretive and/or environmental education?

6. Are there any barriers to public access at this site?

Roadside projects with statewide significance will be given priority in this category:

1. Does the EEMP project or enhance State Park Lands or other lands with high statewide significance?

Provide Compatible Recreational Opportunities including trails for strolling, hiking, bicycling and equestrian uses along rivers and streams.

B. Need for the EEMP Project (0-20 points)

Applications that demonstrate the greatest need for the particular roadside recreational facility will be ranked the highest.

1. Describe recreational opportunities the EEMP project will provide, such as family picnics, bicycling and hiking; areas for river access for swimming, canoeing, fishing; etc.
2. What is the demonstrated need for the EEMP project?
3. What is the geographic proximity of the EEMP project to the RTF?
4. What is the value of the EEMP project to users of the RTF?
5. If conflicts exist between recreational user groups, how do you plan to resolve them?
6. What will happen to the project site if this Project does not occur?

C. Sustainability (0-10 points)

Entities that demonstrate the greatest ability to operate and maintain the proposed recreational facility will be given the highest ranking under this criterion. For example:

1. How will the project be maintained and operated? .
2. How environmentally friendly are the proposed materials and construction techniques?
3. How will materials and equipment be resistant to or protected from vandalism?

If maintenance is to be performed by another entity, please include evidence of concurrence from that entity.

D. Cost Effectiveness (0-10 points)

1. What national, State or local construction standards will be used for recreational facilities and amenities such as trails, restrooms, bike paths, etc.?
2. If the Project includes a trail, what construction materials will be used?
3. What environmentally-friendly materials will be used? (For example, permeable surface on trails, native or drought tolerant plants).

4. What percentage of the proposed trail system will be ADA accessible? Describe accommodations.
5. What type of irrigation system will be installed, if applicable?
6. What is the water source and cost?

E. Other Benefits and Community Participation (0-5 points)

Projects that provide other benefits (i.e., benefits of other Categories -- Highway Landscaping and Urban Forestry and Resource Lands) and/or demonstrate community support will receive points under this criterion.

1. Will the project offset vehicular emissions of carbon dioxide through the planting of trees or other suitable plants?
2. Will the project preserve or restore wildlife and natural habitat?
3. Will there be active citizen participation in planning and/or maintenance of the project?
4. Will the project use volunteer labor and/or donated materials?

If volunteer services or donated items will be used, provide a description of what will be provided, including value - listed separately from cash contributions.

7. WHAT TO SUBMIT: REQUIREMENTS FOR A COMPLETE APPLICATION

The Grant Application is composed of four (4) sections:

- Application Form & Assurances (See Appendix B)
- One Page Summary of EEMP project and relation to RTF
- Project Proposal Narrative (Answers to questions in Sections 5 and 6)
- Exhibits & Supporting Documents (See Appendix A)

The following items, as applicable, make up the EEMP Application Package. Please assemble your Application Package in the order listed below and number each page. **Submit a total of seven copies of all materials (original plus six copies.)**

7.1 Signed Application Form and Assurances (Appendix B)

7.2 Project Summary

A one page description of the EEMP project scope, location, purpose, relationship to the RTF and amount of request.

7.3 Project Proposal Narrative

- A. General Criteria – Answer questions in Section 5 in narrative form and provide quantitative explanations for each whenever possible.

- B. Project Category Criteria - For the Category of project being proposed, answer questions in Section 6 in narrative form and provide quantitative explanations for each whenever possible.

7.4 Exhibits & Supporting Documents

A. Agency Eligibility

1. Authorizing resolution to apply for grant, required for local agencies and nonprofit organizations. (See Appendix)
2. IRS determination letter of Section 501(c)(3) non-profit status, if applicable

B. Related Transportation Facility

1. Related Transportation Facility Lead Agency form letter (Appendix C)
2. CEQA Documents for the Related Transportation Facility (NOE, NOD, Neg Dec, Mitigated Neg Dec or EIR summary) establishing required mitigation

C. Statement of EEMP project consistency with local, State, and/or federal plans, and list of permits and approvals needed and applications filed with involved agencies.

D. EEMP Project Cost Estimate, line item by line item, including all elements to be funded by the EEMP grant, all elements to be funded from other sources, and excluding overhead. (Appendix D)

E. If volunteer services or donated items will be used show them in a separate column on the Project Cost Estimate. You may discuss their value separately from monetary contributions under Section 4.D - Other Benefits and Community Participation.

F. EEMP Project timeline including completion schedule and anticipated submittal date of first invoice for reimbursement.

G. EEMP project quarterly development cash expenditure plan. (Note: this requirement may not be applicable to land acquisition grants that will be purchased all at once. If this is the case, so note in the Application.)

H. Project location map.

I. Project site photos.

J. Project designs or concept drawings.

K. For Acquisitions - Acquisition schedule, location map and parcel map (with parcel numbers and boundaries clearly identified.)

- L. Letter from the lead agency for the Related Transportation Facility.
- M. CEQA Documents for the Related Transportation Facility (NOE, NOD, Neg Dec, Mitigated Neg Dec or EIR summary) establishing required mitigation.
- N. For all projects involving plantings, a description of the number of plantings, species, size, density, and locations.
- O. Letter from a certified arborist, registered professional forester, or registered landscape architect, certifying the following for projects involving tree planting:
 - 1. carbon dioxide uptake and carbon sequestration capability²
 - 2. appropriateness of species for location
 - 3. optimal initial tree size for survival
 - 4. proper planting and maintenance ensured
 - 5. compliance with local ordinances
 - 6. compliance with specified nursery stock standards.
- P. Other exhibits (e.g. agreements with other involved agencies, etc.)
- Q. Letters of endorsement and/or support from community groups mentioned in the Application (if applicable).

8. COSTS AND ACCOUNTING REQUIREMENTS

As part of the Application Package, the EEMP Project Cost Estimate should show all EEMP project expenses line item by line item by line, including other sources of funds. If volunteer services or donated items will be used show them in a separate column. Do not include any overhead costs. (Appendix E)

8-1. Eligible EEMP Project Costs

- A. Expenditures are funded on a reimbursement basis. Only project-related costs incurred *during the project performance period* specified in the Applicant – State Agreement will be eligible for reimbursement. All costs submitted for reimbursement must be supported by appropriate invoices, purchase orders, canceled warrants, and other records.
- B. Expenditures will be reimbursed at the ratio of EEMP funds to Other Sources of Funding designated in the project Cost Estimate in the Agreement. (This is generally the ratio specified by the Applicant in the original project proposal.)

² Carbon sequestration is the process by which CO₂ is transformed into above and below ground biomass and stored as carbon. Not all trees are equal when it comes to carbon sequestration. For suitable trees see the U.S. Forest Service's Tree Carbon Calculator at the Center for Urban Forest Research. <http://www.fs.fed.us/ccrc/topics/urban-forests/>

Important: If the Application states the EEMP grant will fund a *percentage* of the Project, this percentage will be used later to calculate reimbursements to the grantee. Therefore it is important to realistically assess the scope of the project and the reliability of other funding sources before including them in the project Cost Estimate.

- C. Only direct costs are eligible. General program administrative costs, general overhead costs (i.e., costs calculated as a percentage of other direct costs, such as telephone, fax, and space rental, etc.), and endowments for ongoing project maintenance are not eligible for reimbursement. However, they should be included as part of the overall project Cost Estimate with funding sources should be identified.
- D. The Committee may recommend projects to the CTC with unallocated reductions in grant funding or with specific line item reductions. In such cases, the Applicant may elect to use non-grant sources of funding if it is deemed necessary to complete the project as planned.

8-2. Specific EEMP Project Costs

A. Preliminary Project Costs

Preliminary project costs (e.g. construction plans, appraisals, acquisition negotiations, etc.) are eligible for reimbursement.

However, costs incurred prior to both the allocation vote by the California Transportation Commission, and execution of the Applicant-State Agreement, will not be reimbursed.

B. Personnel and Employee Services

Services of the Applicant's employees directly engaged in project execution are eligible costs. These costs must be computed according to the Applicant's prevailing wage or salary scales and may include fringe benefit costs such as vacations, sick leave, social security contributions, etc. that are customarily charged to the Applicant's projects. Costs charged to the project must be computed on actual time spent on the project and be supported by time and attendance records describing the work performed on the project. Overtime costs may be allowed under the Applicant's established policy, provided that the regular work time was devoted to the same project.

Salaries and wages claimed for employees working on State grant funded projects must not exceed the Applicant's established rates for similar positions.

C. Consultant Services

The costs of consultant services necessary for the project are eligible. Consultants must be paid by the customary or established method and rate of the Applicant. No consultant fee may be paid to the Applicant's own employees without prior approval or unless specifically agreed to by the State.

D. Construction Equipment

The following conditions apply to the allocation of costs for construction equipment:

1. Equipment owned by the Applicant may be charged to the project for each use. Equipment use charges must be made in accordance with the Applicant's normal accounting practices. The equipment rental rates published by the State Department of Transportation may be used as a guide. (refer to <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/construc/equipmnt.html>)
2. If the Applicant's equipment is used, a report or source document must describe the work performed, indicate the hours used, and relate the use to the project. This document must be signed by the operator and supervisor.
3. Equipment may be leased, rented, or purchased, whichever is most economical. If equipment is purchased, its residual market value must be credited to the project costs upon completion.

E. Construction Costs

The cost of all necessary construction activities from site preparation (including excavation, grading, etc.) to the completion of a structure or facility is eligible. Also eligible are contract costs for tree planting and irrigation systems.

F. Trees, Supplies, and Materials

Trees, supplies, and materials, including irrigation equipment may be purchased for a specific project or may be drawn from a central stock, provided that they are claimed at a cost no higher than that paid by the Applicant. However, reimbursement for the cost of vegetation planted within public road right-of-way is limited to trees.

G. Acquisition Costs

The costs of acquiring real property are eligible and may include the purchase price of the property, appraisals, surveys, preliminary title reports, escrow fees, and title insurance fees. Applicants should justify the cost effectiveness of a proposed acquisition, and are encouraged to explore the feasibility of acquiring easements rather than fee title when appropriate.

Important: Applicants who propose to purchase property or conservation easements must accept, sign, notarize, and record an “Agreement Declaring Restrictive Covenant” (ADRC). Without an ADRC, Caltrans will not reimburse Applicants for their acquisition costs. Further, lack of an ADRC puts project funds at risk and could negatively affect future requests for EEMP funds. A copy of the ADRC format is posted to the EEMP website.

H. Other Expenditures

In addition to the major categories of expenditures, reimbursements may be made for miscellaneous costs necessary for execution of the project. Some of these costs are:

- Premiums on hazard and liability insurance to cover personnel and/or property
- Work performed by another section or department of the Applicant's agency.
- Transportation costs for moving equipment and/or personnel.

9. CONTACT INFORMATION

Completed Applications and questions regarding the EEMP Guidelines and Application process should be directed to:

Accounting procedures and eligible costs for this program are established by Caltrans. Additional information related to administering an EEMP project can be obtained from:

California Natural Resources Agency
Attn: EEMP Coordinator
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 651-7593
eemcoordinator@resources.ca.gov
<http://www.resources.ca.gov/eem/>

California Department of Transportation
Division of Local Assistance
Attn: EEM Program Coordinator
1120 N Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 653-6930
Brenda_Herron@dot.ca.gov
<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/EM/homepage.htm>

APPENDIX A

Checklist for What to Submit

The following items, as applicable, are required for the EEMP Application Package. Please assemble your Application Package in the order listed below and number each page. Submit a total of seven copies of all materials (original plus six copies.)

1. **Signed Application Form and Assurances** (Appendix B)
2. **Project Summary**
3. **Project Proposal Narrative**
4. **Exhibits & Supporting Documents**
 - a. Authorizing resolution to apply for grant (Appendix E)
 - b. IRS determination letter of Section 501(c)(3) non-profit status, if applicable
 - c. Lead Agency for the RTF Form Letter (Appendix C)
 - d. CEQA Documents for the RTF (NOE, NOD, Neg Dec, Mitigated Neg Dec or EIR summary)
 - e. Statement of EEMP project consistency with local, State, and/or federal plans and list of permits and approvals
 - f. EEMP Project Cost Estimate (Appendix D)
 - g. EEMP Project Timeline
 - h. EEMP project quarterly development cash expenditure plan
 - i. Project location map
 - j. Project site photos
 - k. Project designs or concept drawings
 - l. For Acquisitions - Acquisition schedule, location map and parcel map
 - m. For all projects involving plantings, a description of the number of plantings, species, size, density, and locations
 - n. Certification Letter from a certified arborist, registered professional forester, or registered landscape architect
 - o. Other exhibits (e.g. agreements with other involved agencies, etc.)
 - p. Letters of endorsement and/or support

APPENDIX B
APPLICATION FORM

Enter EEMP Project Information under Section A

A.1 EMMP GRANT APPLICANT

Agency Name _____
Street Address (& PO Box) _____
City _____ State _____ ZIP _____

A.2 TYPE of AGENCY (check one) Local Agency State Agency Federal Agency Non-profit

A.3 EEMP PROJECT CATEGORY (check only one)

Highway Landscaping and Urban Forestry Resource Lands Roadside Recreation

A.4 EEMP PROJECT GRANT REQUEST

EEMP Project Grant Request \$ _____ Estimated Total EEMP Project Cost \$ _____
(include all sources of funds. Do *not* include cost of the Related Transportation Facility.)

A.5 EEMP PROJECT LOCATION

Nearest Cross Street _____
County _____
Nearest City _____
Latitude and Longitude _____
Senate District No. _____
Assembly District No. _____

Project Location: (refer to 1-5. North/South Split on page 2.(check only one) North South

A.6 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE AUTHORIZED IN RESOLUTION

Name	Title	Phone
------	-------	-------

Email address _____

Person with day-to-day responsibility for grant project (if different than authorized representative)

Name	Title	Phone
------	-------	-------

Email address _____

A.7 ANTICIPATED EEMP PROJECT START DATE: _____ COMPLETION DATE: _____

A.8 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF EEMP PROJECT Address scope, purpose, location & connection to the RTF (60 words)

Enter Related Transportation Facility Information under Section B

B. 1 RELATED TRANSPORTATION FACILITY (RTF)

Transportation District	City	County	Route Number / Name
-------------------------	------	--------	---------------------

Location

Description of Related Transportation Facility

Name of Transportation Agency	Date Construction Began or Scheduled
-------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Name of Approved/Certified Capital Outlay Program for Related Transportation Facility

B.2 ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE FOR RTF PROJECT (check type and status)

Type:	Exempt__	Negative Declaration__	Categorical Exemption__	EIR__
Status:	Complete__	In Progress__	Not Started__	

What were the primary environmental impacts of the RTF?

Describe the *required* environmental mitigation?

B.3 Name of Lead Agency for RTF: _____

B.4 Attach the Letter from the Lead Agency Responsible for the construction of the RTF. (APPENDIX C)

B.5 Attach a copy of the NOE, NOD, Neg Dec, Mitigated Neg Dec, or EIR summary, as applicable, to provide evidence of the required mitigation.

C. CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained in this project Application form, including required attachments, is accurate and that I have read and understand the Assurances which are a part of this Application.

Signed _____ **Date** _____
(Grant Applicant's Authorized Representative, as shown on the Resolution)

D. ASSURANCES

Applicant possesses legal authority to apply for the grant and to finance, acquire, and construct the proposed project; and by formal action (i.e., a resolution) the Applicant’s governing body authorized the filing of the Application, including all understandings and assurances contained therein, and authorized the person identified as the official representative of the Applicant to act in connection with the Application and to provide such additional information as may be required.

Applicant will manage and maintain into the future any property acquired, developed, rehabilitated, or restored with grant funds provided through this program. For property acquisition or conservation easement, Applicant will accept, sign, notarize, and record an Agreement Declaring Restrictive Covenant (ADRC) developed by the California Department of Transportation. With the granting agency’s prior approval, the Applicant or its successors in interest may transfer the management and maintenance responsibilities in the property. If the property is not managed and maintained for the purposes stated in the Agreement, the state shall be reimbursed an amount at least equal to the amount of the grant award or, for real property, the pro rata fair market value of the property, including improvements, at the time of sale, whichever is higher.

Applicant will give the state’s authorized representative access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the grant.

Applicant will cause work on the project to be commenced within a reasonable time after receipt of notification from the State that funds have been approved and that the project will be carried to completion with reasonable diligence. If Applicant cannot submit its first invoice for reimbursement to Caltrans by one year from the date of the execution of the Applicant-State agreement, Applicant will submit a statement of project progress appropriate to the project that provides real assurances that the project will be completed prior to **April 30, 2012**, including but not limited to: project advertisement or firm advertisement schedule, entry into escrow for acquisitions, date project plans will be completed, anticipated date of receipt of other needed funds from specified entity, etc.

Applicant will comply where applicable with provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act and the California Relocation Assistance Act and any other state, and/or local laws, rules and/or regulations.

Applicant Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Signed _____ Date _____
(Grant Applicant’s Authorized Representative)

APPENDIX C

LETTER FROM THE LEAD AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE RELATED TRANSPORTATION FACILITY (RTF)

This letter plus CEQA documents for the RTF are required for each EEMP Application.

TO THE LEAD AGENCY FOR THE RTF – This letter is intended to describe the construction of a new Transportation Facility or modification / enhancement of an existing Transportation Facility. Please provide this letter prior to the application due date of November 16, 2009.

Provide the following information about the Related Transportation Facility RTF:

1. Describe the RTF construction or modification.
2. What is the location of the RTF?
3. When was the RTF construction or modification completed?
4. What type of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) clearance was required?
 - o Notice of Exemption (NOE)
 - o Notice of Determination (NOD)
 - o Negative Declaration (Neg Dec)
 - o Mitigated Negative Declaration (Mitigated Neg Dec)
 - o Environmental Impact Report (EIR)
5. When was CEQA completed?
6. What environmental mitigation measures were *required*?

IMPORTANT: Attach one of the following to this letter to provide evidence of the required mitigation for the RTF: A copy of the NOE, NOD, Neg Dec, Mitigated Neg Dec, or EIR summary, as applicable.

Provide the following information about the *proposed* EEMP Project:

7. Does any part of the proposed EEMP project provide the same environmental mitigation or enhancements required of the transportation project? (If "yes," please explain, and certify that the EEMP project is NOT part of the *required* mitigation.)
8. Is the proposed EEMP project compatible with the transportation facility, or does it interfere with the transportation facility operation or safety? (If incompatible, please explain.)
9. Does the proposed EEMP project limit or interfere with planned or anticipated future improvements to the transportation facility? (If it interferes, please explain.)
10. Describe any concerns about the proposed EEMP project (e.g., design, safety, tree density, other.)
11. Was another EEMP project previously undertaken to mitigate the impact of this transportation project? (If yes, please explain.)

Send letter to: Natural Resources Agency
Attn: EEMP Coordinator
1416 Ninth St., Suite 1311
Sacramento, CA 95814

Please include: Signature of Transportation Agency Representative, Title,
Name of Transportation Agency
Contact information (address, phone, email)

APPENDIX D

SAMPLE COST ESTIMATE FOR DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

Project Elements (SAMPLE ONLY)	Unit Price	Units	Quant.	Total Project Costs	EEMP Grant	Applicant Match	Other Funding Sources	In Kind Donations	Estimated Schedule for Completion
<u>Direct Project Management & Administration</u>									
Staff Time									
Incidental Charges	LS	LS	LS						
Consultants									
<i>Subtotal</i>									
<u>Planning, Design & Permitting</u>									
Staff Time									
Consultants									
Permit Costs	LS	LS	LS						
<i>Subtotal</i>									
<u>CEQA Compliance (if applicable)</u>									
Staff Time									
Consultants									
<i>Subtotal</i>									
<u>Subtotal Preconstruction Costs</u> (not to exceed 25% of grant)									
<u>Implementation/Construction</u>									
Labor									
Materials									
Equipment									
Restrooms, Kiosks, etc. or Bid Items									
<i>Subtotal</i>									
<u>Contingency</u> (not to exceed 10% of grant)									
GRAND TOTAL:									
i.									

APPENDIX D CONTINUED - SAMPLE COST ESTIMATE FOR LAND ACQUISITIONS

Project Title:					
Assessor's Parcel Number(s):	Acreage	Fee Title or Easement	Willing Seller Name and Address		
ACQUISITION COST ESTIMATE					
	Total Costs	EEMP Grant	Applicant Match	Other Sources of Funds (specify by name)	
Estimated Fair Market Value of property					
Relocation Costs					
Preliminary Title Reports, Appraisal					
Escrow Fees, Title Insurance, Closing Costs					
Surveying (limited to boundary line adjustment)					
Direct costs (staff and consultants) – limited to \$10,000 per grant					
State approval costs of appraisal, transaction review etc.					
Contingency (Not to exceed 10% of total grant)					
Required signage					
Other (Specify)					
Grand Total					

Acquisition Schedule

Timeframe

Complete Appraisal		
Submit appraisal and title report for State review		
Submit instruments of conveyance, etc.		
Close of escrow (submit all final closing documents specified in the grant agreement)		
Install Bond Acknowledgement Sign		
Close-out		

APPENDIX E

SAMPLE RESOLUTION TEMPLATE

Resolution No:
RESOLUTION OF THE

(GOVERNING BODY)

OF _____ APPROVING (NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION/SPECIAL PURPOSE LOCAL AGENCY)

THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDS FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL ENHANCEMENT AND MITIGATION PROGRAM UNDER THE SECTION 164.56 OF THE STREETS AND HIGHWAYS CODE FOR THE FOLLOWING PROJECT:

(PROJECT NAME)

WHEREAS, the Legislature of the State of California has enacted AB 471 (Chapter 106 of the Statutes of 1989), which is intended to provide \$10 million annually for a period of 10 years for grant funds to local, state and federal agencies and nonprofit entities for projects to enhance and mitigate the environmental impacts of modified or new public transportation facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Natural Resources Agency has established the procedures and criteria for reviewing grant proposals and is required to submit to the California Transportation Commission a list of recommended projects from which the grant recipients will be selected; and

WHEREAS, said procedures and criteria established by the Natural Resources Agency require a resolution certifying the approval of application by the Applicant's governing body before submission of said application to the State; and

WHEREAS, the application contains assurances that the Applicant must comply with; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant, if selected, will enter into an agreement with the State of California to carry out the environmental enhancement and mitigation project;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE

(GOVERNING BODY)

1. Approves the filing of an application for the Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Program for grant assistance.
2. Certifies that said Applicant will make adequate provisions for operation and maintenance of the project.
3. Appoints _____ as agent of _____
(NAME AND TITLE)
the _____ to _____
(NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION/SPECIAL PURPOSE LOCAL AGENCY)

Conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents, including, but not limited to applications, agreements, amendments, payment requests and so on, which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned project.

Approved and Adopted the _____ day of _____, 2_____.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution Number _____ was duly adopted by the

(GOVERNING BODY)

following roll call vote:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

(CLERK/SECRETARY FOR THE GOVERNING BODY)

	Office	Mailing address
APPENDIX F	Headquarters 1120 N Street Sacramento 916-654-5266	P.O. Box 942873 Sacramento, CA 94273-0001
Caltrans District Offices	District 1 1656 Union Street Eureka 707-445-6600	P.O. Box 3700 Eureka, CA 95502
	District 2 1657 Riverside Drive Redding 530-225-3426	P.O. Box 496073 Redding, CA 96049-6073
	District 3 703 "B" Street Marysville 530-741-4211	P.O. Box 911 Marysville, CA 95901
	District 4 111 Grand Avenue Oakland 510-286-4444	111 Grand Ave P.O. Box 23660 Oakland, CA 94623-0660
	District 5 50 Higuera Street San Luis Obispo 805-549-3111	50 Higuera Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93401-5415
	District 6 1352 W. Olive Ave. Fresno 559-488-4020	P. O. Box 12616 Fresno, CA 93728-2616
	District 7 100 S. Main Street Los Angeles 213-897-3656	100 S. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012
	District 8 464 W. 4th St. San Bernardino 909-383-4561	464 W. 4th St. San Bernardino, CA 92402
	District 9 500 South Main Street Bishop 760-872-0601	500 South Main Street Bishop, CA 93514
	District 10 1976 East Charter Way Stockton 209-948-7543	P. O. Box 2048 Stockton, CA 95201
	District 11 4050 Taylor Street San Diego 619-688-6670	4050 Taylor Street San Diego, CA 92110
	District 12 3347 Michelson Dr., Ste 100 Irvine 949-724-2000	3347 Michelson Dr., Ste 100 Irvine, CA 92612-0611